UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Automotive Consultants of Hollywood, Inc., :

:

Case No. 1:13-cv-692

Petitioner,

:

VS.

et al.

United States of America.

:

Respondent.

ORDER

Automotive Consultants of Hollywood, Inc. ("ACH") filed a civil replevin petition and complaint in this matter pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. Proc. 41(g), seeking the return of approximately \$1.1 million in funds seized by the United States from a Wells Fargo business bank account held in ACH's name. (Doc. 1) The funds were seized pursuant to a seizure warrant issued ex parte by the Magistrate Judge on or about September 13, 2013. Following the seizure and the filing of this replevin action, the United States instituted civil forfeiture proceedings in the related case. (*United States v. Contents of Wells Fargo Bank Account XXX5826*, Case No. 1:13-cv-716.)

The United States then moved to dismiss the petition in this case (Doc. 9). The motion notes that the replevin petition seeks an equitable remedy from this Court, the return of the seized funds. When the forfeiture complaint was filed, ACH was entitled to a legal remedy, to file a claim and contest the forfeiture and pre-trial seizure in that proceeding pursuant to statute. The United States noted that in <u>United States v. One</u> 1974 Learjet 24D, 191 F.3d 668, 673 (6th Cir. 1999), the Sixth Circuit held that the filing

of a forfeiture complaint precludes a claimant from seeking relief under Rule 41(g). The

United States' motion therefore sought dismissal of this case.

ACH responded that it was premature to find its petition to be moot as a result of

the forfeiture case, because it was not clear at that time if the Court would permit ACH

to seek the release of its funds pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §983(f). (Doc. 10)

In the related forfeiture case, this Court recently entered an order granting ACH's

motion to release the seized funds, after a pre-trial due process hearing, concluding that

the United States has not shown probable cause to continue to hold the seized funds

prior to trial. Based on the Court's decision in that case, the Court hereby grants the

motion to dismiss ACH's civil replevin petition.

SO ORDERED.

THIS CASE IS CLOSED.

DATED: April 2, 2014

s/Sandra S. Beckwith

Sandra S. Beckwith, Senior Judge

United States District Court

-2-